# FILE COPY



PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT STUDIES AND PLANS Consultant Services FAP Route 301 (US 20) Section 43-1, -2, -3, -4, -5 & 177 – 1 JoDaviess and Stephenson County Job No. P-92-004-92 US 20 Glacier Shadow Pass Study – Freeport to Galena

May 22, 2001

Mr. Brent Manning, Director Illinois Department of Natural Resources 524 South Second Street Springfield, IL 62701-1787

Attn: Mr. Steve Hamer, Program Manager, Transportation Review Division of Natural Resources Review and Coordination

Dear Mr. Manning:

Enclosed for your review and comment are three copies of the final Ecological Resources Technical Report (Volumes I, II, III and IV) for the US 20 Study from Galena to Freeport in northwest Illinois.

If a response is not received within 30 days from the date noted above, we will assume that your office has no comment.

This report is being sent in advance of the Draft EIS which is anticipated to be available for circulation the end of this year.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Rick Gualandi at 815/284-5930.

Steristra

Sincerely,

Roger E. Rocke District Engineer

By: Daryl A. Stienstra

**Engineer of Program Development** 

Enc.

c: Nordmeyer (Berger) Biggers (JDQ) Laue (JDQ)



#### George H. Ryan, Governor • Joe Hampton, Director

PACCAGA DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MP -METE ATION

DISTRIBUTE OF ALL GUREAUS CONFER WITH CISTRICT ENGINEER

MAY 5 1 2001

PREPARE DORRESPONDENCE FOR THE DRS SIGNATURE PREPARE DORRESPONDENCE FOR THE DRS SIGNATURE

OPERATIONS

Q

というという

RECEIVED

#### **Bureau of Land and Water Resources**

State Fairgrounds • P.O. Box 19281 • Springfield, IL 62794-9281 • 217/782-6297 • TDD 217/524-6858 • Fax 217/557-0993

May 29, 2001

Mr. Roger Rocke, District Engineer Illinois Department of Transportation Division of Highways/District 2 819 Depot Avenue Dixon, Illinois 61021-3546

Re:

US 20 (FAP Route 301)

Section 43-1, -2, -3, -4, -5 & 177 – 1 JoDaviess and Stephenson Counties

Job No. P-92-004-92

US 20 Glacier Shadow Pass Study - Freeport to Galena

Dear Mr. Rocke:

Thank you for providing the Illinois Department of Agriculture with the final Agricultural Resources Technical Report (Volume 1) and Appendix C – Aerial Mapping (Volume 2) which was prepared for the US 20 study from Galena to Freeport in northwestern Illinois.

The IDA has reviewed the two-volume report that provides detailed information on the manner and extent to which the project will impact the area's agricultural resources. We believe the District has done a very thorough job of assessing the project's agricultural impacts. The Technical Report provides a clear and concise appraisal of the primary and secondary impacts associated with each of the 12 proposed alternative alignments. Table 3.1 (page 6-7) is particularly helpful in summarizing those impacts.

The IDA will comment on the project's compliance with the IDOT's Agricultural Land Preservation Policy and with the state's Farmland Preservation Act (505 ILCS 75/1 et seq.) after we have had a chance to review the project's Draft Environmental Impact Statement (Draft EIS) which the IDOT anticipates will be available for circulation at the end of this year. The Draft EIS will provide the IDA with a broader perspective of the project's impact to the agricultural sector of the environment.

Should you have questions regarding our review of the Agricultural Resources Technical Report, please contact Mr. James Hartwig of my staff at 217-785-4470.

Sincerely,

Steve Frank, Chief

Bureau of Land and Water Resources

CC:

Director Joe Hampton, IDA Joan Messina, IDA Mike Williams, IDA John Cross, IDA John Herath, IDA Warren Goetsch, IDA Lester Johnson, JoDaviess Co. SWCD John Pinkowski, Stephenson Co. SWCD Kevin Rund, Illinois Farm Bureau



United States
Department of
Agriculture

1902 Fox Drive Champaign, IL 61820

> Attn: Teresa Savko IDOA, Bureau of Land & Water Resources State Fairgrounds, P.O. Box 1981 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9281

SEP 24 1001

Distribute to all sure us

Out of a sure spoke and the part signs and sure spoke and spoke an

Re: FAP Route 301 (US 30)

JoDaviess and Stephenson Counties, Illinois

Dear Mr. Hartwig:

Enclosed is Form AD-1006 for the above project. If you have questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

Robert L. McLeese State Soil Scientist

Enclosures

cc: Rick Gualandi, Engineer, Illinois Department of Transportation Dixon, IL.

U.S. Department of Agriculture

## FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

Tract I ( To be completed by Teacher Agency)			te Of Land Evaluation Request					
Name Of Project FAP Route 301 (115 20) Feder			deral Agency Involved FHWYA					
Proposed Land Live	01 (01) 2	Cour	ity And State			· -		
Highway	grant and the grant and a second		Request Regelves	Vaure:	ss <del>4</del> <i>Step</i>	henson,I		
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	and the state of t				7-31	-01		
Does the site contain prime, unique, statew	ide or local importan	r. farmland?	Yes I	No Acres Irriga				
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not do	omplete additional p				37			
1. 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Farmable Land		1477 bill		Farmland As De			
Name Of Fand Evaluation System Head Jerry	'v iviliName:Ofthocal	Site Accountment	+ Stetam	Date Land 6	7 695 9 Váluation Fétur	200 /9: 7 /		
Illinois	Stat	e wide	No. 40 Page 1	Con Care Land	9-21-	0.1		
RT III (To be completed by Federal Agency			Alternative Site Rating					
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			2659.3	Site • 2 2652.9	Site # 3	Site		
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly			200715	2052.9	2861.9	2855.5		
C. Total Acres In Site			2659,3	2652.9	2861.9	2855.5		
PART IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Eve	disation information	1. 1. 1.	3 PAR . 1997 TO			3333		
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmlar	. 44 6 . 7	F. 19	02- 0	D1/2 1	0823	05//4/		
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Impo		<del></del>	820.8	842.0	883.2	1341.8		
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Li		Converted	0.0096	0.000	1369.0			
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt, Jurisdiction			20.0.	20.0	18.5	18.5		
RT V (To be completed by SCS) Land Eva		X X 1.5	15.198	99	102	102		
Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Cor			65410	65,7"	67.7	68,0		
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agenc	//	Maximum						
Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained	in 7 CFR 658.5(b)	Points				}		
1. Area in Nonurban Use		-	<del></del>					
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use	<del></del>	****						
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed								
4. Protection Provided By State And Loca	Government							
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area						,		
6. Distance To Urban Support Services	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		-			
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	Average							
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Parmland	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e							
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services						<b></b>		
10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Suppor	t Comings		<del></del>	~		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultura					#, <u>1</u> ,			
						· ·		
( )	<u>* 150</u>	<del>160</del>			<del>,</del>			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency	')		,					
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100						
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above of	or a local	,	. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	·				
site assessment)	or a local X 15D	-1 <del>50</del>		······································				
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	<b>*</b> 300	<del>-260-</del>	1		ļ			
		······································		Was A Local Site	Assessment Used	_		
Sité Selected:	Date Of Selection			Corridor	-5tate"	side		
Region For Selection						<del></del>		

Uhen utilizing the state site Assessment Corridor Factors, 150 points are assigned to the Land Evaluation (PE) portion, and 150 points are assigned to the Site Assessment (SA) portion, for a maximum of 300 points.

U.S. Department of Agriculture

## FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

2 of 3

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency	1)	Date	Of Land Evaluat	ion Request		
Name Of Project FAP Rowe :	301 (us 2	(A) Fede	ral Agency Involv	red FHW	<i>γ</i> Δ	
	201 (0,3	Cour	nty And State			
Highway		Doża	Request Received	D Vavi &	33 + Ste	phenson, 1
PART II (To be completed by SCS)			Heddest Hecelve	a BA SC2	7-31-c	i i
Does the site contain prime, unique, statev	vide or local importa	nt farmland?	Yes N	No Acres Irriga	1 -	
(If no, the PPPA does not apply — do not Major Crop(s)						72
<b></b>		In Govt. Juriso			Farmland As Det	
C.O. YN, Soybeaus, Wheat & Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	Name Of Local	635,5	00 % 9 /		7,695,9 Valuation Return	
I linois	5+0	Hewide		Date Latin a		-D/
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agence		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Alternative	Site Rating	O Fee
	Site # 5	Site 🗗 💪	Site 2 7	Site # 8		
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly     B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly			2787.6	278/.2	2772.3	2698.0
C. Total Acres In Site	Υ		27077	2781.Z	7777 2	2/08 5
The state of the s	da la	· ,-	410:0	210112	2712.3	2698.0
PART IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Ev						·
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmla     B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Imperior			842.1	863.3	885.7	844.6
The state of the s			1343.7	1316.5	1256.5	1231.2
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or L			0.01	0.01	0.01	0.0097
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt, Jurisdiction PART V (To be completed by SCS) Land Evi			1815 102	18.5	18.5	18,5
Relative Value Of Farmland To Se Co	inverted (Scale of Dea	100 Polots)	676	67,8	67.6	175
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agend	*****	1	-510	<i>छ</i> ना ग	to mo	842
Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained		Maximum Points				
1. Area In Nonurban Use			<del></del>		<del></del>	
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use	·					
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed	···		-		W-12-	
. 4. Protection Provided By State And Loc	al Government					
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area				<del></del>	·····	
6. Distance To Urban Support Services					:	
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared T	o Average					
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland						<del></del>
<ol><li>Availability Of Farm Support Services</li></ol>						
10. On-Farm Investments						
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Suppo					,	
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultur	al Use					-
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS	*150	- <del>160</del>	]			
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agenc						
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100	<u> </u>	····		
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above site assessment)	or a local * 150	160	- ··			<del></del> -
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	* 300	<del>260</del> -		· · ·		
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			Was A Local Site	Assessment Used	1?
Site Selected: Date Of Selection				State Yes	LI ~ 'N	ი <b>1</b> 141
1 1 2 2	_!		<u></u>	JTUTE WILL	e Corrio	lo.K



U.S. Department of Agriculture

## FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

3 OF 3

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date	Of Land Evaluati	on Request		
Name Of Project FAP Route 301 (4	5 <b>Z</b> O)	Feder	al Agency Involv	ed FHWY	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Proposed Land Use High Way			or And Crozes			ONE AND T
PART II (To be completed by SCS)	ل المحمد (المحمد المحادث	Date	Request Received	By SCS	7-21-0	enson, I
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local im	nortant farm!	land?	<del></del>		ted Average Fan	
(If no, the FPPA does not apply — do not complete addit				<u> </u>		72
Major Crop(s) Farmable	e Land In Govt	. Jurisdi	iction 🔭 .	Amount Of	Farmland As Oef	ined in FPPA
CONN, Soyheaws Wheat Hay Acres: Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name O	29, 63 <u>5</u>	500	» 97	Acres: 2	7,695,90	0.841
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Name O	f Local Site Ass	essment	t System	<ul><li>Date Land_6</li></ul>	Evaluation Return	ed By SCS
III Nois	state w	146	in the second		-21-01	<u>, emili (, ei )</u>
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			Site # 9	Site D /O	Site Rating Site # /	Site # 17
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly		****	2765.9	2691.6	2579.8	2573.7
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly						
C. Total Acres in Site			2765.4	2691.6	2579.8	2573.7
PART IV (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Inform	nation	•	- 25 871 S. E.		H = 1	1,5%
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland	*** <u>***</u>		906.9	865.8	844.7	8314
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland	<u> </u>		1229.3	1204.0	1156.8	1157.0
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt, Unit	tTo Be Conve	rted	0.01	0.0097	0.0093	0.0093
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt, Jurisdiction With Same Or			18.5	1.8.5	18,5	18,5
PART V (To be completed by SCS) Land Evaluation Criteri Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale)			67.9102	67,8102	67.6	67.8 102
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5)	Maxim (b) Poin				112. 122. 133.	
1. Area In Nonurban Use						
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use						<u> </u>
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed						
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government						<del></del>
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area	J	- 1				
	<del></del>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
6. Distance To Urban Support Services						
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average			,			
Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average     R. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland						
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average  8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland  9. Availability Of Farm Support Services						
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonformable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments					v	
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average  8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland  9. Availability Of Farm Support Services					v	
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average  8. Creation Of Nonformable Farmland  9. Availability Of Farm Support Services  10. On-Farm Investments  11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services  12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use	/50 160	0-				
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS	/50 <del>16</del> 4	0-			v	
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average  8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland  9. Availability Of Farm Support Services  10. On-Farm Investments  11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services  12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use  TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS  ART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)  Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100				v	
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 9. Availability Of Farm Support Services 10. On-Farm Investments 11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS	100	0				
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average  8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland  9. Availability Of Farm Support Services  10. On-Farm Investments  11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services  12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use  TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS  ART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)  Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100	0			Assessment Usee	

When using the State Site Assessment Corridor factors, 130 fints are assigned to the Land Evaluation (LE) portion, and 150 points are assigned to the site Assessment (SA) portion for a maximum score of 300 points

## FILE COPY

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT STUDIES AND PLANS Consultant Services FAP Route 301 (US 20) Section 43-1, -2, -3, -4, -5 & 177 - 1 JoDaviess and Stephenson County Job No. P-92-004-92 US 20 Glacier Shadow Pass Study -- Freeport to Galena

April 30, 2001

Mr. Joe Hampton, Director Illinois Department of Agricultural Agricultural Building, State Fairgrounds P.O. Box 19281 Springfield, IL. 62794-9281

Attn: Mr. Steve Frank, Bureau Chief Bureau of Land and Water Resources

Dear Mr. Hampton:

Enclosed for your review and comment is a copy of the final Agricultural Resources Technical Report (Volume 1) and Appendix C – Aerial Mapping (Volume 2) for the US 20 Study from Galena to Freeport in northwest Illinois.

If a response is not received within thirty (30) days from the date noted above, we will assume that your office has no comment.

This report is being sent in advance of the Draft EIS which is anticipated to be available for circulation the end of this year.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Rick Gualandi at 815/284-5930.

Sincerely,

Roger E. Rocke District Engineer

By: Daryl A. Stienstra

**Engineer of Program Development** 

Enc.

c: Nordmeyer (Berger) Biggers (JDQ) Laue (JDQ)



# Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Highways / District 2 819 Depot Avenue / Dixon, Illinois / 61021-3500 Telephone 815/284-2271

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT
STUDIES AND PLANS
Consultant Services
FAP Route 301 (US 20)
Section 43-1, -2, -3, -4, -5 & 177 - 1
JoDaviess and Stephenson County
Job No. P-92-004-92
US 20 Glacier Shadow Pass Study – Freeport to Galena

July 19, 2001

Mr. James B. Johnson USDA – Natural Resources Conservation Service 1902 Fox Drive Champaign, IL 61820

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Enclosed for your review and comment is a copy of the final Agricultural Resources Technical Report (Volumes 1 and 2) for the US 20 Study from Galena to Freeport in northwest Illinois.

The report was previously submitted to the Illinois Department of Agricultural on April 30, 2001 for their review and comment. Attached is a copy of their response letter dated May 29, 2001

This report is being forwarded to your office for the initiation of Form 1006. A Draft Environmental Impact Statement is anticipated to be available for circulation by the end of this year.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Rick Gualandi at 815/284-5930.

Sincerely,

Roger E. Rocke District Engineer

By: Daryl A. Stienstra

Engineer of Program Development

and Stenston

Enc.

c: Nordmeyer (Berger)Biggers (JDQ)Laue (JDQ)

Page 1 of 2

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT STUDIES AND PLANS Consultant Services FAP Route 301 (US 20) Section 43-1, -2, -3, -4, -5 & 177 – 1 JoDaviess and Stephenson County Job No. P-92-004-92 US 20 Glacier Shadow Pass Study -- Freeport to Galena

April 26, 2001

Office of Strategic Environmental Analysis U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604-3590

Attn: Mr. Mike MacMullen, Manager
Federal Activities Programs

Dear Mr. MacMullen:

Enclosed for your review and comment is a copy of the final Air Quality Technical Report for the US 20 Study from Galena to Freeport in northwest Illinois.

If a response is not received within 30 days from the date noted above, we will assume that your office has no comment.

This report is being sent in advance of the Draft EIS which is anticipated to be available for circulation the end of this year.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Rick Gualandi at 815/284-5930.

Sincerely,

Roger E. Rocke District Engineer

By: Daryl A. Stienstra

Engineer of Program Development

Enc.

c: Nordmeyer (Berger) Biggers (JDQ) Laue (JDQ) **NO RESPONSE RECEIVED**